



Website:

http://www.vitonline.org/

DOI:

10.4103/2589-9686.325188

Prevention of venous thromboembolism in COVID-19 patients: Is there a way forward?

Andrew Nicolaides^{1,2}

Introduction

Severe acute respiratory syndrome Scoronavirus 2 genome encodes approximately 25 proteins needed by the virus to infect humans and to replicate. The virus enters the human cell through the angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 receptors, which is expressed on the many tissues: vascular and respiratory endothelium, alveolar monocytes, macrophages, heart, kidney, and gastrointestinal tract. [1]

In the early months of 2020, the scientific community generally assumed that COVID-19 was an infective-inflammatory disease-producing pneumonia, acute respiratory distress syndrome, sepsis, and eventually multiorgan failure. Nevertheless, it was soon realized that it produced also cardiovascular thrombotic events such as deep-vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE), arterial thrombosis (limb and gangrene), myocardial infarction, and eventually, liver and renal failure. [2,3]

Endothelial Cell Direct Viral Injury

Wichmann *et al.* reported the autopsy findings of the first 12 patients with COVID-19 that died in Hamburg (Germany). In Germany, it was a legal requirement to

¹Department of Vascular Surgery, Imperial College, London, UK, ²Department of Surgery, University of Nicosia Medical School, Nicosia, Cyprus

Address for correspondence:

Dr. Andrew Nicolaides, Department of Surgery, University of Nicosia Medical School, Nicosia, Cyprus.

E-mail: anicolaides1@ gmail.com

Submitted: 26-Jul-2021 Revised: 08-Aug-2021 Accepted: 10-Aug-2021 Published: 31-Aug-2021 distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 4.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as appropriate credit is given and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

This is an open access journal, and articles are

For reprints contact: WKHLRPMedknow_reprints@wolterskluwer.com

perform an autopsy for patients dying with a polymerase chain reaction-confirmed diagnosis of COVID-19. Autopsy revealed DVT in 7 of 12 patients in whom venous thromboembolism (VTE) was not suspected before death and PE was the direct cause of death in 4 of the 12 patients. In addition, the authors reported lymphocytic infiltration of the myocardium that might be responsible for the electrocardiographic changes observed in the absence of myocardial infarction. [4] Subsequently, the medical community became aware of the direct viral injury to the vascular endothelium which also contributes to the vascular complications.

Hemoglobin Dysfunction and Anemia

Hemoglobin values are reduced in COVID-19 patients with severe disease. [5] In addition, there is functional inhibition of hemoglobin by binding of the virus to the beta chains of Hb resulting in reduced oxygen transport and hypoxia. As a result, there is vasodilatation with pulmonary vasoconstriction with fibrin formation in lung microcirculation. [6]

Ackermann *et al.* investigated morphologic changes in the peripheral lung of patients who die from COVID-19 examining lungs obtained during the autopsy. Histologic studies showed widespread thrombosis with microangiopathy. Alveolar capillary microthrombi were nine times as prevalent in patients with COVID-19 compared with patients dying from influenza.^[7]

How to cite this article: Nicolaides A. Prevention of venous thromboembolism in COVID-19 patients: Is there a way forward? Vasc Invest Ther 2021;4:83-6.

An additional interesting information is that laboratory findings and clinical features of COVID-19 patients resemble high altitude pulmonary edema. [8] In COVID-19 patients, a typical feature is a "silent hypoxia" with normal PCO₂. PCO₂ increases above normal levels only at late stages of the disease. In addition, the virus increases circulating and tissue ferritin, which induces iron deficiency with further lack of functional hemoglobin. [9]

In summary, the main cellular and pathological effects of the virus are activation of coagulation, damage to vascular endothelium, decrease in endothelial NO, decrease in functional hemoglobin, hypoxemia and systemic hypoxia, ferroptosis with oxidative stress, and degeneration of mitochondria. [10]

Activation of Coagulation Factors

COVID-19 inflammation stimulates massive production of cytokines, which in turn increase the production of clotting factors by the liver. For example, fibrinogen, which is normally between 2 and 4 g/L and increases to 5–6 g/L in pregnancy (which is considered a hypercoagulable state), augments to 10–14 g/L in patients with COVID-19 infection. [11] Increased levels are also reported for lactate dehydrogenase, C-reactive protein, D-dimer, coagulation factors VIII and XII, ferritin, interleukin-6, and troponin, which indicates myocardial damage. In severe disease, there is an increase in prothrombin time and international normalized ratio; there is also a decrease in activated partial thromboplastin time and in antithrombin levels. [12]

Incidence of Venous Thromboembolism

Early studies from China highlighted a 20%–40% incidence of VTE in intensive care unit (ICU) patients in the absence of pharmacological prophylaxis^[13,14] and a study including 184 patients in ICU from three academic medical centers in the Netherlands, reported that 31% patients developed VTE despite pharmacological prophylaxis. [15] In another early study by Middeldorp et al., symptomatic VTE was found in 13% of a series of 198 patients and after screening 55 of these patients with ultrasound a further group of 14 (7.1%) were found to have DVT. The clinical outcomes were much higher in ICU than in the ward and VTE was associated with death (HR 2.7, 95% confidence interval [CI] 1.3-5.8). The raised HR was still significant after adjustment for age, sex, and ICU stay (HR 2.4 95% CI 1.02-5.5).[16]

In 137 patients with COVID-19 pneumonia contrast computed tomography (CT) pulmonary angiography

was performed. This was done in 63 outpatients to differentiate between pneumonia and PE. It was also performed in 72 in hospital patients because of clinical deterioration and increased oxygen needs. PE was found in 32 (24%) patients. In these 32 patients, PE was proximal in 10, segmental in 18, and multiple subsegmental in 4.^[17]

Many other studies followed confirming the high incidence of VTE in patients with COVID-19 and in a recent meta-analysis of 40 studies involving 7966 COVID-19 patients the incidence of DVT and PE in the ICU was 25% and 17%, respectively; it was 7% and 4% in non-ICU patients. In studies in which screening with ultrasound and CT-angiography was performed the incidence of DVT and PE in ICU was 33% and 22%, respectively. [18]

An expert guidance statement issued in 2020 recommended escalated thrombophylaxis-doses such as therapeutic-doses of anticoagulation or intermediate-dose anticoagulation pending the results of randomized controlled trials (RCT).[19] However, subsequent RCTs failed to demonstrate benefit. A RCT evaluating safety and efficacy of therapeutic dose versus standard-dose thrombophylaxis was halted for futility in December 2020 after 1123 patients had been enrolled. There was no benefit and a high probability of harm with the therapeutic dose. However, in COVID-19 patients not in ICU, therapeutic dose was more effective than standard dose with regard to organ-support free days. [20] The INSPIRATION RCT which was published in March 2021 and involved 562 COVID-19 patients from 10 academic centers did not demonstrate any difference between intermediate dose and standard dose for the primary efficacy outcome (arterial thrombosis, treatment with extracorporeal membrane oxygenation, or mortality within 30 days) which was 45.7% versus 44.1%.[21] An observational study involving 2809 COVID-19 patients admitted to ICU found no benefit of therapeutic dose versus standard dose thrombophylaxis.[22] As a result, there was a growing body of evidence against dose-escalated thronbophylaxis and the American Society of Hematology 2021 Guidelines recommended prophylactic doses of low-molecular-weight heparins (LMWH) pending the results of RCT.^[23]

In a recent RCT, 243 outpatients with COVID-19 were randomized to sulodexide or placebo. Sulodexide was associated with reduced hospitalization (RR 0.6; 95% CI 0.37–0.96. P=0.03) and reduced need for oxygen support (RR 0.71; 95% CI 0.5–1.0; P=0.05). [24] Sulodexide is a natural glycosaminoglycan, which has a protective effect on vascular endothelium and has been shown to produce a 50% reduction in VTE recurrence when used

for 2 years in a RCT of extended prophylaxis without any increase in bleeding. [25]

Conclusions and Rationale for the Development of Effective Venous Thromboembolism Prophylaxis

The conclusions are that in patients with COVID-19 there is (i) activation of coagulation, as an acquired thrombophilia due to the virus, (ii) direct damage to the vascular endothelium, (iii) decreased function of hemoglobin producing hypoxemia, (iv) alveolar edema and microcirculatory thrombosis, and (v) DVT and PE resistant to prophylactic LMWH. Therefore, in patients with already compromised ventilation and impaired oxygen transport, it is not surprising that even a small PE may be fatal.

In addition to the activation of coagulation and damage to vascular endothelium by the virus, positive pressure ventilation in the ICU induces also a reduced lower limb blood flow and venous stasis. In other words, there is full activation of Virchow's triad. It is not surprising that COVID-19 patients in ICU are at very high risk for VTE and past experience teaches us that in such very high risk patients a combination of different prophylactic modalities is needed to reduce VTE incidence.

Thus, the high incidence of VTE resistant to prophylactic or therapeutic LMWH therapy in patients with COVID-19 is probably the result of the pleiotropic effect of the virus and it requires therapy with pleiotropic actions. It requires the use of combined methods of prophylaxis such as LMWH with intermittent pneumatic compression, a combination that has already been shown to be effective in high risk non-COVID-19 patients. [26] The most recent demonstration of the superior efficacy of combined modalities in the prevention of VTE in high risk patients is by the IPS: SUPER RCT.[27] In this study, 407 patients who underwent major surgery and had a Caprini score of ≥11 were randomised to receive either IPC in addition to standard prophylaxis with anti-embolic stockings (pressure of 18–21 mm Hg at the ankle) and LMWH (IPC group) or standard prophylaxis alone (control group). The primary outcome was asymptomatic venous thrombosis of the lower limbs, as detected by duplex ultrasound scan performed before inclusion to the study and every 3–5 days after surgery. The primary outcome occurred in 1 (0.5%) patient in the IPC group and 34 (16.7%) patients in the control group (relative risk, 0.03; 95% CI: 0.01–0.21). PE occurred in none of the 204 patients in the IPC group and in 5 (2.5%) patients in the control group (relative risk, 0.09; 95% CI, 0.01-1.63), and postoperative death occurred in six (2.9%) patients in the IPC group and 10 (4.9%) in the control group (relative risk, 0.50; 95% CI, 0.50–1.60).

Conclusion

In patients with COVID-19 in the ICU, there is a need for a clinical trial to determine the efficacy of not only the well-established combination of LMWH and intermittent pneumatic compression plus antiembolism stockings but also adding a drug such as sulodexide that protects the endothelium. In this situation, LMWH should alleviate the hypercoagulable state, sulodexide should protect the endothelium and intermittent pneumatic compression in combination with antiembolism stockings should increase venous return and blood velocity in the lower limb veins. The need for such a study is urgent.

Financial support and sponsorship

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

References

- Parks JM, Smith JC. How to discover antiviral drugs quickly. N Engl J Med 2020;382:2261-4.
- Zaim S, Chong JH, Sankaranarayanan V, Harky A. COVID-19 and multiorgan response. Curr Probl Cardiol 2020;45:100618.
- Bikdeli B, Madhavan MV, Jimenez D, Chuich T, Dreyfus I, Driggin E, et al. COVID-19 and thrombotic or thromboembolic disease: Implications for prevention, antithrombotic therapy, and follow-up. J Am Coll Cardiol 2020;75:2950-73. [doi: 10.1016/j. jacc.2020.04.031].
- 4. Wichmann D, Sperhake JP, Lütgehetmann M, Steurer S, Edler C, Heinemann A, *et al.* Autopsy findings and venous thromboembolism in patients with COVID-19. An Int Med 2020 [doi: 7326/M20-2003]. [doi: 10.7326/M20-2003].
- Lippi G, Mattiuzzi C. Hemoglobin value may be decreased in patients with severe coronavirus disease 2019. Hematol Transfus Cell Ther 2020;42:116-7.
- Majeed A, Shajar MA. Is hemoglobin the missing link in the pathogenesis of COVID-19? Anaesth Pain Intensive Care 2020;24:9-12.
- Ackermann M, Verleden SE, Kuehnel M, Haverich A, Welte T, Laenger F, et al. Pulmonary vascular endothelialitis, thrombosis, and angiogenesis in Covid-19. N Engl J Med 2020;383:120-8.
- Solaimanzadeh I. Acetazolamide, nifedipine and phosphodiesterase inhibitors: Rationale for their utilization as adjunctive countermeasures in the treatment of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). Cureus 2020;12:e7343.
- 9. Zhou F, Yu T, Du R, Fan G, Liu Y, Liu Z, *et al.* Clinical course and risk factors for mortality of adult inpatients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China: A retrospective cohort study. Lancet 2020;395:1054-62.
- Cavezzi A, Troiani E, Corrao S. COVID-19: Hemoglobin, iron, and hypoxia beyond inflammation. A narrative review. Clin Pract 2020;10:1271.
- 11. Wise J. Covid-19 and thrombosis: What do we know about the risks and treatment? BMJ 2020;369:m2058.
- Bonetti G, Manelli F, Patroni A, Bettinardi A, Borrelli G, Fiordalisi G, et al. Laboratory predictors of death from coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) in the area of Valcamonica, Italy. Clin Chem Lab Med 2020;58:1100-5.
- 13. Cui S, Chen S, Li X, Liu S, Wang F. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism in patients with severe novel coronavirus

- pneumonia. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18:1421-4. [doi: 10.1111/jth. 14830].
- Wang T, Chen R, Liu C, Liang W, Guan W, Tang R, et al. Attention should be paid to venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in the management of COVID-19. Lancet Haematol 2020;7:e362-3.
- Klok FA, Kruip MJ, van der Meer NJ, Arbous MS, Gommers DAMPJ, Kant KM, et al. Incidence of thrombotic complications in critically ill ICU patients with COVID-19. Thromb Res 2020;191:145-7. [doi: 10.1016/j.thromres. 2020.04.013].
- 16. Middeldorp S, Coppens M, van Haaps TF, Foppen M, Vlaar AP, Müller MCA, *et al.* Incidence of venous thromboembolism in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18:1995-2002. [doi: 10.1111/jth. 14888].
- Bompard F, Monnier H, Saab I, Terdjman M, Abdul H, Fournier L, et al. Pulmonary embolism in patients with Covid-19 pneumonia. Eur Respir J 2020;56:2001365. [doi: 10.1183/13993003.01365-2020].
- 18. Zhang R, Ni L, Di X, Wang X, Ma B, Niu S, *et al.* Systematic review and meta-analysis of the prevalence of venous thromboembolic events in novel coronavirus disease-2019 patients. J Vasc Surg Venous Lymphat Disord 2021;9:289-98.e5.
- Spyropoulos AC, Levy JH, Ageno W, Connors JM, Hunt BJ, Iba T, et al. Scientific and Standardization Committee communication: Clinical guidance on the diagnosis, prevention, and treatment of venous thromboembolism in hospitalized patients with COVID-19. J Thromb Haemost 2020;18:1859-65.
- The REMAP-CAP, ACTIV-4a, and ATTACC Investigators. Therapeutic anticoagulation with heparin in critically ill patients with Covid-19. N Engl J Med. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2103417.
- INSPIRATION Investigators, Sadeghipour P, Talasaz AH, Rashidi F, Sharif-Kashani B, Beigmohammadi MT, et al. Effect of

- intermediate-dose vs standard-dose prophylactic anticoagulation on thrombotic events, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation treatment, or mortality among patients with COVID-19 admitted to the intensive care unit: The INSPIRATION randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2021;325:1620-30.
- Al-Samkari H, Chuich T, Dreyfus I, Driggin E. Ann Intern Med Published on Line Jan 26, 2021. [doi: 107326/M20-6739].
- Cuker A, Tseng EK, Nieuwlaat R, Augchaisuksiri P, Blair C, Dane K, et al. American Society of Hematology 2021 guidelines on the use of anticoagulation for thromboprophylaxis in patients with COVID-19. Blood Adv 2021;9:872-88.
- 24. Gonzalez-Ochoa AJ, Raffetto JD, Hernandez AG, Zavala N, Gutierrez O, Vargas A, *et al.* Sulodexide in the treatment of patients with early stages of COVID-19: A randomised controlled trial. Thromb Haemost 20212;121:944-54.
- 25. Andreozzi GM, Bignamini AA, Davi G, Palareti G, Matuska J, Holy M, et al. Sulodexide for the prevention of recurrent VTE: The sulodexide in secondary prevention of recurrent deep vein thrombosis (SURVET) study: A multicenter, randomised, double blind, placebo-controlled trial. Circulation 2015;132:1891-7.
- Kakkos SK, Caprini JA, Geroulakos G, Nicolaides AN, Stansby G, Reddy DJ, et al. Combined intermittent pneumatic leg compression and pharmacological prophylaxis for prevention of venous thromboembolism. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2016;9:CD005258.
- Lobastov K, Sautina E, Alencheva E, Bargandzhiya A, Schastlivtsev I, Barinov V, et al. Intermittent Pneumatic Compression in Addition to Standard Prophylaxis of Postoperative Venous Thromboembolism in Extremely High-risk Patients (IPC SUPER): A randomized controlled trial. Ann Surg 2021;274:63-9.