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Chapter 12
General Considerations
Combined Modalities in Surgical Patients

- Increased efficacy of combined modalities is based on the multifactorial etiology of VTE as first described by Rudolph Virchow in the 19th century\(^1\)
  - Physical methods improve venous stasis and protect venous endothelium, while pharmacological methods affect hypercoagulopathy

- Combined modalities are more effective than single modalities was first shown by Borow in 1983\(^2\)

---

Recent Cochrane review evaluated the efficacy of combined modalities versus single modalities\(^1\)

Eleven studies (6 randomized and 5 controlled trials) were identified, which included 7431 patients

- Compared with compression alone, the use of combined modalities reduced significantly the incidence of both symptomatic PE (3% to 1%) (OR 0.39; 95% CI 0.25 to 0.63) and DVT (4% to 1%) (OR 0.43; 95% CI 0.24 to 0.76)\(^1\)

- Compared with pharmacological prophylaxis alone, the use of combined modalities significantly reduced the incidence of DVT (4.21% to 0.65%) (OR 0.16; 95% CI 0.07 to 0.34).

- The included studies were underpowered with regard to PE\(^1\)

---

Review of Evidence
Combined Modalities in Surgical Patients

- The additive role of mechanical and pharmacological modalities suggests that venous stasis, endothelial damage and hypercoagulopathy are independent pathogenetic risk factors.

- IPC reduces venous stasis by producing active flow enhancement, and also increases tissue factor pathway inhibitor (TFPI) plasma levels\(^1-3\)

Combined modalities (IPC and pharmacological prophylaxis) should be considered in all high risk surgical patients

- Level of evidence: High